

John Pless,

WE NEED A LITTLE ASSISTANCE WITH THE "DEFINITION"
OF "MALICE" AS GIVEN IN INSTRUCTION NO: F

WE ARE HURD UP ON THE PHRASE "AT A TIME WHEN THE MIND
OF THE ACTOR IS UNDER THE CONTROL OF REASON"

IF POSSIBLE, WE WOULD LIKE A SIMPLE EXPLANATION.

ALSO:

INSTRUCTION #8 & #7 . ARE THESE TWO SEPARATE CHARLES?
#8 POINT 1 SAYS, "THAT LINDA FRANKLIN WAS KILLED";
#7 POINT 1 SAY, "THAT THE DEFENDANT KILLED LINDA FRANKLIN";

~~THE ONLY DEFENSE IS THE ALIBI~~.

THANK YOU FOR ANY ASSISTANCE YOU CAN GIVE, SORRY TO BOTHER.

FOREMAN

Instruction F is the definition of the legal term "malice." I cannot give you any further guidance on the meaning of "malice."

"Control of reason" is not a legal term of art. You should give those words their ordinary and usual meanings.

Instructions #8 and #7 do set forth separate offenses. They are two different counts of the indictment. Each offense has separate elements. You should consider each offense separately and on its own merits. You must render a verdict on each of the charges and you have been given separate verdict forms for that purpose.

I understand that you have asked to view the car. The car is not available for further inspection by you. You must rely on your recollections of the view of the car that you had earlier and any photographs or descriptions of the car that are in evidence.

Judge Roush